UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,528	09/29/2003	Lixiao Wang	S63.2-6533-US04	1834
** -	7590 09/01/201 TT & STEINKRAUS,	EXAMINER		
SUITE 400, 6640 SHADY OAK ROAD			MATTHEWS, WILLIAM H	
EDEN PRAIRIE, MN 55344			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/673,528	WANG, LIXIAO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
William H. Matthews (Howie)	3774		

	William H. Watthews (Howle)	3174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit I (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	late of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount or ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia	ance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu	t prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cons		E below);			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	• •				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette	r form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for		
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co	rresponding number of finally reje	octed claims			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rresponding number of finally reje	cted ciaims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Americanient (1 10L-32+).		
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s).	wabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, t	intery filed differialfier	it canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (P13. ☐ Other:	то/эв/06) Рарег No(s)				
	/William H. Matthews/				
	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3774				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Examiner maintains the claims do not require a "pharmacological type" drug, and thus gold is encompassed by the description of the claim term. Furthermore, with respect to the rejection over Berg, Scott, Nolting, and Jang, Applicants arguments regarding Scott teaching away are not persuasive. Scott clearly teaches the benefits of localized drug delivery and does not teach that such method would be improper for use with a dip/sray coated stent..